

MADAM SPEAKER.—The Report is presented.

Sri KAGODU THIMAPPA (Sagar). I beg to move :

“That the 9th Report of the Committee on Private Members Bills and Resolutions be adopted”.

MADAM SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the 9th Report of the Committee on Private Members Bills and Resolutions be adopted.”

*The motion was adopted*

### Ruling of the Speaker to a Question of Privilege

*Re* :—Statement by Minister for Labour outside the House when the House is in Session.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್ (ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ).—ನಾನು ನಿನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಿವಿಲೇಜ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ...

MADAM SPEAKER.—Sri M. S. Krishnan and Sri B. V. Kakkilaya have given notice of breach of privilege to the effect that the Minister for Labour has made a policy statement at Mysore when the House is sitting.

No doubt it is a matter of courtesy to make policy statements in the House when it is sitting. It has been repeatedly ruled that no privilege of Legislature is involved if statements on matters of public interest are not first made in the House and are made outside. Such actions are against conventions and propriety but do not constitute any basis on which breaches of privileges can be founded.

The matter may therefore be treated as closed.

Sri S. M. KRISHNAN.—It is a question of propriety and not privilege ಎಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ...

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನಾನು “Courtesy” ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—Propriety demanded that the Minister should have made any policy statement in this House and not outside the house even if it is not a matter of privilege. ಅವರು ಒಂದು ಅರ್ಥಗರ್ಭಿತವಾದ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. Because such statements made by Ministers outside, will be taken by the Departmental officers as orders and they act on it. A similar instance happened in Delhi, where officers of the Ministry issued circulars to all industries based on the statement of the Minister outside and this raised a big commotion in all industries and labour circles.

MADAM SPEAKER.—I have given a ruling. Sri Krishnan should not speak on it.

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—I bow to the ruling Madam. I am trying to explain what happened, in a similar incident.

Subsequently the matter was raised in Parliament and Mr. K. R. Ganeshan made a statement which set at rest the feelings of the Members of Parliament and the working class in our country. In a similar way, let the Hon'ble Minister say the same thing here on the floor of the House. I request him to give a positive statement and a positive assurance that he does not mean to abrogate all the enactments in this country.

**SRI AZEEZ SAIT.**—Madam Speaker, I feel sorry that no less a member than Sri Krishnan who has been actively working in the field of labour should make a statement on presumptions. He knows that Government is empowered to exempt certain industries from certain provisions of labour laws like Payment of Wages Act, Bonus Act, etc. This is only to encourage small scale industries in backward areas. He is fully aware of that fact. It is purely an administrative matter; it is not a matter of policy. He is saying all this for the sake of publicity.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೋಣಂದೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಅವರು ಆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಬಾರದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್.**—ಆ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿದರೂ ಏನೂ ಆದ್ದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಏನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಏನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಗೋಡು ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.**—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಷ್ಟು ಬೇಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಸರಣಿ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಏನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಏನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಎಳೆದು, ಎಳೆದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವ ರಾಗದ ಸರಣಿ ನಮಗೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

**ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.**—ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಗವೇ ಬೇರೆ, ಅವರ ರಾಗವೇ ಬೇರೆ.

**SRI AZEEZ SAIT.**—I was submitting, Madam that Government is empowered to exempt certain industries from the operation of certain provisions of labour laws. There is no policy involved in it; nor does it amount to anti-labour policy. My friend Sri Krishna need not be worried. Government is fully aware of the labour problems, of the need for social legislation, etc. I know he is fighting for the cause of workers from morning till evening. He goes on presumptions and wants to show to the labour world that here is a Minister who is anti-labour and that he is a labour leader who wants to protect the interests of the labour.

**SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.**—This is a very uncharitable statement. ನಾನು ಅವರನ್ನು ಅಂಟಿ ಲೇಬರ್ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರನ್ನು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ತರೆಮೇಲೆ ಹಾಕಿ ಕೊಂಡರು ? ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ? ಅವರನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರ ವಿರೋಧಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಕ್ಲಾಸಸ್ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಮ್ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಆ ಕ್ಲಾಸಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಈವೊತ್ತು ಎಲ್ಲೂ ಮಾಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಮಾಡುತ್ತಲಿಲ್ಲ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಬಹಳ ದೀರ್ಘವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಯಾವ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ರಾಧ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬೋನಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಪಬ್ಲಿಟಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅಂಟಿ ಲೇಬರ್ ಧೋರಣೆಯಿಂದ ಲೇಬರ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್, ಮನ್ನಾ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ಸಬುಬುಗಳು ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ we are going to fight him out tooth and nail.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಅಜೀಜ್ ಸೇಟ್.**—ಅಗಬಹುದು.